

This work was supported by the Wallenberg AI, Autonomous Systems and Software Program (WASP) funded by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.



Context-free Self-Conditioned GAN for Trajectory Forecasting

<u>Tiago</u> Rodrigues de Almeida Eduardo Gutiérrez Maestro **Oscar Martinez Mozos**

AASS, Örebro University



Motivation

- Learn different behaviors from trajectories
- Surveillance systems, autonomous vehicles, and service robots
- Proactive systems by perceiving behaviors
- Include behaviors in the trajectory forecasting task







Problem Definition

Spatio-temporal data:

• $T_i = \{(\Delta x_1, \Delta y_1), \dots, (\Delta x_n, \Delta y_n)\}$

Trajectory forecasting:

- $\Delta X_{j} = \{(\Delta x_{1}, \Delta y_{1}), \dots, (\Delta x_{p}, \Delta y_{p})\}$ $\Delta Y_{j} = \{(\Delta x_{p+1}, \Delta y_{p+1}), \dots, (\Delta x_{n}, \Delta y_{n})\}?$







Contributions

- 1. Adapt self-conditioned GAN (used for image generation) to the trajectory generation task [Liu et. al '20]
- 2. Attenuate mode-collapse via soft assumptions drawn from self-conditioned GAN
- 3. Three training settings that improve trajectory forecasting





Method

- 1. Identify meaningful modes via Self-conditioned GAN
- 2. Use them to define soft-assumptions
- 3. Apply those soft-assumptions via training settings in a CF-GAN

Trajectories data set

> Initial track







Self-conditioned GAN

- Clusters in the discriminator's feature space (updated throughout the training)
- Self-supervised classes (generator conditioned on clusters' *ids*)
- Embed information provided by this clustering space into the trajectory generation task





6



Soft-assumptions based on Self-conditioned GAN

Metrics to assess the quality of the generation: **ADE:** RMSE($\hat{Y}_i Y_i$) **FDE:** d([(\hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_n), (x_n, y_n)])

- Quality of the generation of clusters of trajectories (via ADE and FDE)
- Intra-cluster results define the most challenging groups of future trajectories
- Clustering space distribution defines the representativeness of unsupervised groups of trajectories from the input data





Proposed training settings

• Common generator's loss function given by the sum of:

$$MSE(\boldsymbol{Y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j}^{p} \min \|\boldsymbol{y}_{j} - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{j}\|_{2}, \quad L_{Adv} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[(D(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{Y})$$

• (1) Penalize MSE (wL2) and (2) weighted batch sampler (wB) given by:

$$\Lambda_{i} = \lambda_{ADE} \ \frac{ADE_{i}}{ADE_{\max}} + \lambda_{FDE} \ \frac{FDE_{i}}{FDE_{\max}} + \lambda_{D} \ \frac{\#_{i}}{\#_{T}}$$



$[-1)^2] + rac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[D(oldsymbol{X}, \hat{oldsymbol{Y}})^2]$



THÖR [Rudenko et. al '19]

- 8-time steps observation (3.2s) 12-time steps prediction (4.8s) [Kothari et. al '21]
- task-driven roles: 5 or 6 visitors, 2 workers, and 1 inspector







Argoverse [Chang '19]

- 20-time steps observation (2s) 30-time steps prediction (3s)
- supervised classes: autonomous vehicles (*av*), regular vehicles (*agents*), other road agents (*others*)
- randomly sampled 5726, 2100, 1678 for training, validation and test sets, respectively [Chandra et.al '20]
- training set: 2600 from *av*, 2600 from *agents* and 526 from *others*







agents), other road agents (*others*) est sets, respectively [*Chandra et.al '20*] s





INTRA-CLASSES ADE/FDE METRICS (IN METERS) IN THE TEST SETS.

		Baselines		Ours		
Data set	Labels (# samples)	LSTM [22]	CF VAN GAN [14]	CF VAN GAN + wL2	CF VAN GAN + wB	CF VAN GAN +wL2 + wB
THÖR	$\frac{workers}{(413)}$	0.695 1.064	$\begin{array}{c} 0.642 \pm 0.006 \\ 1.033 \pm 0.005 \end{array}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 0.629 \pm 0.005 \\ 1.009 \pm 0.014 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.644 \pm 0.012 \\ 1.044 \pm 0.028 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.625 \pm 0.009 \\ 1.006 \pm 0.019 \end{array}$
	visitors (1379)	0.664	$\begin{array}{c} 0.660 \pm 0.001 \\ 1.105 \pm 0.090 \end{array}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 0.657 \pm 0.003 \\ 1.107 \pm 0.007 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.668 \pm 0.005 \\ 1.124 \pm 0.018 \end{array}$	0.657 ± 0.003 1.113 ± 0.013
	$\frac{inspector}{(260)}$	0.796 1.582	$\begin{array}{c} 0.735 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.474 \pm 0.019 \end{array}$	$0.736 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.473 \pm 0.013$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 0.729 \pm 0.013} \\ {1.479 \pm 0.049} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.734 \pm 0.003 \\ 1.476 \pm 0.015 \end{array}$
Argoverse	<u>others</u> (526)	1.864 3.029	1.815 ± 0.031 2.969 ± 0.034	1.799 ± 0.007 2.944 ± 0.022	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 1.789 \pm 0.012} \\ {\rm 2.927 \pm 0.020} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.801 \pm 0.027 \\ \textbf{2.919} \pm \textbf{0.032} \end{array}$
	av (2600)	1.512 2.278	${\begin{aligned} 1.467 \pm 0.007 \\ 2.269 \pm 0.023 \end{aligned}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.482 \pm 0.009 \\ 2.292 \pm 0.010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.480 \pm 0.003 \\ 2.282 \pm 0.006 \end{array}$	1.493 ± 0.010 2.298 ± 0.028
	<i>agent</i> (2600)	2.371 4.690	${\begin{aligned} 2.349 \pm 0.012 \\ 4.654 \pm 0.016 \end{aligned}}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 2.362 \pm 0.013 \\ 4.700 \pm 0.029 \end{vmatrix}$	$2.368 \pm 0.020 \\ 4.721 \pm 0.044$	2.371 ± 0.012 4.724 ± 0.027







2022-10-12





+

+

+



ADE/FDE METRICS (IN METERS) FOR 2 CLUSTERS OF THE TEST SET.

			Baselines		Ours	
Data set	Cluster ID (# samples)	LSTM [22]	CF VAN GAN [14]	CF VAN GAN + wL2	CF VAN GAN + wB	CF VAN GAN +wL2 + wB
THÖR	9 (23)	1.203 2.456	$\begin{array}{c} 1.120 \pm 0.025 \\ 2.758 \pm 0.082 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.054 \pm 0.048 \\ 2.505 \pm 0.134 \end{array}$	1.124 ± 0.038 2.811 ± 0.136	${\begin{aligned} 1.039 \pm 0.055 \\ 2.505 \pm 0.105 \end{aligned}}$
	0 (1003)	0.325 0.447	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 0.311 \pm 0.003} \\ {\bf 0.403 \pm 0.010} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.321 \pm 0.004 \\ 0.419 \pm 0.015 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.317 \pm 0.007 \\ 0.416 \pm 0.021 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.315 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.424 \pm 0.017 \end{array}$
Argoverse	10 (16)	7.394 19.075	7.184 ± 0.178 18.402 ± 0.415	7.105 ± 0.123 18.233 ± 0.297	7.122 ± 0.055 18.276 ± 0.113	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 7.047 \pm 0.088} \\ {\bf 18.128 \pm 0.194} \end{array}$
	18 (1542)	0.912 1.148	$\begin{array}{c} 0.809 \pm 0.016 \\ 1.100 \pm 0.017 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.807 \pm 0.010 \\ 1.088 \pm 0.027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.805 \pm 0.007 \\ 1.079 \pm 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.795 \pm 0.008 \\ 1.055 \pm 0.030 \end{array}$



n		-	-
U	u	L	з



ADE/FDE METRICS (IN METERS) IN THE TEST SETS FOR *ideal* MODELS. THESE CAN BE SEEN AS LOWER BOUNDS.

Data set	cGAN	Ours
THÖR	$\begin{array}{c} 0.657 \pm 0.003 \\ 1.114 \pm 0.011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 0.591 \pm 0.014} \\ {\bf 0.937 \pm 0.022} \end{array}$
Argoverse	1.927 ± 0.016 3.323 ± 0.030	${\begin{aligned} & 1.785 \pm 0.014 \\ & 2.887 \pm 0.038 \end{aligned}}$

× • .







Conclusions

- We adapted Self-conditioned GAN to trajectory generation task
- We improved ADE/FDE of least representative supervised and unsupervised groups of trajectories
- We improved globally in human trajectory data (THÖR)
- We obtained **competitive global results** in road agents trajectory data (Argoverse)





Conclusions

 Modes from our system represent the first step to identify different behaviors from trajectories









This work was supported by the Wallenberg AI, Autonomous Systems and Software Program (WASP) funded by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.



Context-free Self-Conditioned GAN for Trajectory Forecasting

<u>Tiago</u> Rodrigues de Almeida Eduardo Gutiérrez Maestro **Oscar Martinez Mozos**

AASS, Örebro University